

# Distal Biceps Tendon Repair

## Distal Biceps Tendon Repair – Post-operative Rehabilitation (Evidence)

**Topic scope:** Post-operative rehabilitation after surgical repair of a ruptured **distal biceps tendon** reattached to the radial tuberosity with **cortical-button fixation**. The protocol here reflects Dr Hirpara's practice – a **slings-only, early-comfort-motion** approach with **deliberately delayed loading** – set against the published spectrum of distal-biceps rehabilitation protocols.

*Defining principle: Cortical-button fixation is the strongest available construct, and biomechanical and clinical evidence show it tolerates immediate motion safely. Dr Hirpara's stance is to spend that strength on early movement, not early loading: a simple sling for 6 weeks (no hinged brace, no extension block) with all motion to comfort from day 1 including extension, but with resisted strengthening and lifting held back until ~4 months to protect tendon-to-bone healing and minimise re-rupture, and unrestricted activity / return to sport at ~6 months on criterion-based clearance. This sits at the protective end of loading while matching the most current thinking on early motion for stiffness prevention.*

## Where this protocol sits in the evidence

Published distal-biceps protocols span a wide range, from rigid hinged-brace extension-block schemes to immediate unrestricted motion. Dr Hirpara's plan diverges from the "traditional" template in two deliberate ways:

1. **Immobilisation:** A simple sling for comfort, not a hinged ROM brace, and **no extension block**. Motion is unrestricted to comfort from day 1. The mainstream BWH/MGB protocols instead use a posterior splint at 90° for 5–7 days, then a hinged brace with a 45°→30° extension block opened ~10°/week to full extension by ~6 weeks (or ~3 weeks in the accelerated variant). Dr Hirpara's approach is at the **early-motion** end and is justified by the strength of the cortical-button construct.

2. **Loading:** Resisted strengthening and lifting are deferred to **~4 months**. This is *more conservative* than the published loading timelines (accelerated resisted work at week 6; standard/MGB at weeks 8–10–12; light weights weeks 12–14). Crucially, this conservatism is a choice made **despite** having the construct that would permit earlier loading.

The endpoint – **unrestricted activity / return to sport at ~6 months**, criterion-based – is the single most commonly cited endpoint across protocols and matches the mainstream consensus.

---

## Key evidence and controversies

---

1. **Early/immediate motion is safe with modern fixation.** (*Moderate*) Biomechanical work supports immediate motion: Bisson et al (AJSM 2007) found aggressive rehabilitation safe after the modified 2-incision approach, and Rose et al (KSSTA 2010) showed single-incision EndoButton/FiberWire repairs survive 2,000 immediate-motion cycles. Several series report no increased re-rupture with immediate post-operative motion. This underpins the sling-only, motion-to-comfort approach.
2. **Cortical-button strength enables early motion.** (*Moderate*) Cortical-button ( $\pm$  interference screw) fixation has the highest load-to-failure of the available constructs (Olsen JSES 2014; Spang JSES 2006; Lang OTSR 2018 – comparable functional outcomes across constructs but higher load-to-failure for cortical button). Spencer/Edwin (HAND 2008) argued EndoButton fixation strength may allow earlier ROM. This fixation strength is the explicit rationale for permitting immediate movement.
3. **Mobilisation timing may not change outcome.** (*Moderate*) A retrospective comparison found no clinically significant difference in failure, complications, ROM or patient-reported outcomes for early versus delayed mobilisation after primary distal biceps repair. This undercuts the urgency of accelerating loading and supports a measured progression.
4. **Conservative rehab may lower re-rupture.** (*Consensus / survey*) Rosenthal/Ting/Sher (JSES 2023), a survey of fellowship-trained elbow surgeons, suggests **more conservative post-operative rehab may be associated with lower re-rupture risk** – a direct counterweight to the accelerated-loading trend and the rationale for deferring loading to ~4 months. Phelps et al (JSES Int 2025, Level IV systematic review) found **no consensus on the optimal return-to-sport protocol**, with protocols ranging from immobilisation to immediate motion.
5. **Tendon elongation in the mid window.** (*Moderate*) Marshall et al (OJSM 2016, radiostereometric) showed the repaired tendon elongates mostly at **4–8 weeks** post-op with minimal change at 8–16 weeks – a biomechanical argument for caution against aggressive loading in that mid window even when motion itself is safe.
6. **Incision and complications.** (*Moderate*) Grewal et al RCT (JHS 2010): no overall functional difference single vs double incision (flexion strength slightly greater with two-incision, more minor complications with single-incision). Amarasooriya systematic review (AJSM 2020): synostosis occurred only with double incision; fixation technique did not significantly affect re-rupture. Incision choice mainly drives complication-avoidance precautions, not the ROM timeline. Re-rupture rates overall are low (0–5.6%; Garon & Greenberg 2016).

## Phased rehabilitation timeline (this protocol)

Phase	Window	Sling / brace	ROM / use	Strengthening / loading	Criteria to progress
<b>I – Protected comfort motion</b>	<b>Week 0 → 6</b>	<b>Simple sling 6 wk</b> , off for exercises/hygiene. <b>No hinged brace, no extension block</b>	All motion to comfort from day 1 – active + passive flexion, extension and forearm rotation. No arc restriction	<b>None.</b> No resisted biceps/supination loading; hand/wrist/grip and scapular/shoulder ROM maintained	Wound healed; comfortable near-full ROM; out of sling at 6 wk
<b>II – Full motion, unloaded</b>	<b>Week 6 → ~4 mo</b>	Sling off	Full symmetric pain-free ROM; light everyday use	<b>None yet</b> – light functional use <b>without resisted loading or lifting</b>	Full painless ROM; wound/repair settled → begin loading ~4 mo
<b>III – Strengthening &amp; graded loading</b>	<b>~4 → 6 mo</b>	–	Full ROM maintained	<b>Start LIGHT resisted strengthening / lifting at ~4 mo</b> ; progress gradually (isotonic curls + resisted supination → functional → job/sport-specific)	Pain-free resisted flexion/supination; strength approaching the other side
<b>Return to activity</b>	<b>~6 mo</b>	–	Unrestricted	Unrestricted activity / return to sport, criterion-based	Full painless ROM; strength ≥ 90–100% of the other side; tolerance of job/sport-specific demands

**One-line summary:** simple sling 6 weeks with all motion to comfort from day 1 (no brace, no extension block) → full unloaded motion to ~4 months → light resisted loading from ~4 months → unrestricted activity / sport at ~6 months, criterion-based.

# Evidence strength flags

---

- **MODERATE (biomechanical + cohort):** safety of immediate/early motion with cortical-button fixation (Bisson 2007; Rose 2010; Olsen 2014; Spang 2006; Lang 2018); no clinically significant difference early vs delayed mobilisation; low overall re-rupture rates.
- **MODERATE (biomechanical):** tendon elongation concentrated 4–8 weeks (Marshall 2016) – supports caution on mid-window loading.
- **CONSENSUS / survey-level:** more conservative rehab may lower re-rupture (Rosenthal 2023); no consensus on optimal return-to-sport protocol (Phelps 2025, Level IV). The **specific phase timings of this protocol are expert/consensus-derived**, not trial-derived.

**Overall evidence strength: Moderate.** Phased timelines rest on consistent institutional protocol consensus reinforced by biomechanical studies and retrospective cohorts; few prospective RCTs of the rehabilitation progression itself, and no consensus on the optimal return-to-sport protocol.

---

## CITATIONS

---

### RAG CORPUS (180,000+ ORTHOPAEDIC ARTICLES)

- Grewal R, Athwal GS, MacDermid JC, et al. Single vs. double incision technique for the repair of distal biceps tendon ruptures: a randomized clinical trial. *J Hand Surg Am.* 2010.
- Amarasooriya M, Bain GI, Roper T, et al. Complications after distal biceps tendon repair: a systematic review. *Am J Sports Med.* 2020.
- Keener JD. Controversies in the surgical treatment of distal biceps tendon ruptures: single versus double-incision repairs. *J Shoulder Elbow Surg.* 2011;20(2):S113–S125.
- Dunphy TR, Hudson J, Batech M, et al. Surgical treatment of distal biceps tendon ruptures: an analysis of complications in 784 surgical repairs. *Am J Sports Med.* 2017;45(13):3020–3029.
- Bisson LJ, Gurske-de Perio J, Weber AE, et al. Is it safe to perform aggressive rehabilitation after distal biceps tendon repair using the modified 2-incision approach? A biomechanical study. *Am J Sports Med.* 2007.
- Rose DM, Archibald JD, Sutter EG, et al. Biomechanical analysis suggests early rehabilitation is possible after single-incision EndoButton distal biceps repair with FiberWire. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc.* 2010;19(6).
- Marshall NE, Keller RA, Okoroha K, et al. Radiostereometric evaluation of tendon elongation after distal biceps repair. *Orthop J Sports Med.* 2016.
- Phelps BM, Birnbrich A, Singer W, et al. Postoperative rehabilitation and return to sport criteria following distal biceps tendon rupture surgery. *JSES Int.* 2025. (Level IV systematic review: no consensus on optimal RTS rehabilitation.)

---

### CQ HAND + UPPER LIMB

Dr Kieran Hirpara – Specialist Orthopaedic Surgeon  
Suite 2, Level 1, Mater Private Hospital Rockhampton, 31 Ward Street, The Range, QLD 4700  
Phone 07 4863 6556 · office@cqupperlimb.com.au · cqupperlimb.com.au

- Rosenthal R, Ting RS, Sher D. Management of distal biceps tendon ruptures: a survey of fellowship-trained subspecialist elbow surgeons. *J Shoulder Elbow Surg.* 2023;32(10).
- Olsen JR, Shields E, Williams RB, et al. A comparison of cortical button with interference screw versus suture anchor techniques for distal biceps brachii tendon repairs. *J Shoulder Elbow Surg.* 2014;23(11):1607–1611.
- Spang JT, Weinhold PS, Karas SG. A biomechanical comparison of EndoButton versus suture anchor repair of distal biceps tendon injuries. *J Shoulder Elbow Surg.* 2006.
- Lang NW, Bukaty A, Sturz GD, et al. Treatment of primary total distal biceps tendon rupture using cortical button, transosseous fixation and suture anchor: a single center experience. *Orthop Traumatol Surg Res.* 2018.
- Edwin ES (Spencer EE Jr), Tisdale A, Kostka K, Ivy RE. Is therapy necessary after distal biceps tendon repair? *HAND.* 2008;3(4).
- Rubinger L, Solow M, Johal H, et al. Return to work following a distal biceps repair: a systematic review of the literature. *J Shoulder Elbow Surg.* 2020;29(5):1002–1009.
- Ford SE, Andersen JS, Macknet DM, et al. Major complications after distal biceps tendon repairs: retrospective cohort analysis of 970 cases. *J Shoulder Elbow Surg.* 2018;27(10):1898–1906.
- Cuzzolin M, Secco D, Guerra E, et al. Operative versus nonoperative management for distal biceps brachii tendon lesions: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Orthop J Sports Med.* 2021.
- Garon MT, Greenberg JA. Complications of distal biceps repair. *Orthop Clin North Am.* 2016. (Re-rupture 0–5.6%.)

#### **PUBLISHED REHABILITATION PROTOCOLS (WEB)**

- Brigham & Women’s Hospital – Distal Biceps Tendon Repair Rehabilitation Protocol (standard). <https://www.brighamandwomens.org/assets/BWH/patients-and-families/pdfs/elbow–distal-biceps-repair-protocol.pdf>
- Brigham & Women’s Hospital – Distal Biceps Tendon Repair Accelerated Protocol. <https://www.brighamandwomens.org/assets/BWH/patients-and-families/rehabilitation-services/pdfs/elbow-accelerated-distal-biceps-repair-protocol-bwh.pdf>
- Mass General Brigham Sports Medicine – Rehabilitation Protocol for Distal Biceps Tendon Repair (rev. 10/2021). <https://www.massgeneral.org/assets/mgh/pdf/orthopaedics/sports-medicine/physical-therapy/rehabilitation-protocol-for-distal-biceps-repair.pdf>